

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/620,311	07/14/2003		Edward W. Knowlton	39254-0005	7533
25213	7590	06/28/2006		EXAMINER	
HELLER E			ROLLINS, ROSILAND STACIE		
MENLO PARK, CA 9				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3739	

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			–
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/620,311	KNOWLTON, EDWARD W.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Rosiland S. Rollins	3739
- Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHIC - Exten after \$ - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DO SIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to be to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 A.</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositio	on of Claims		
5) □ 6) ☑ 7) □ 8) □	Claim(s) 2-4 and 14 is/are pending in the applita) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2-4 and 14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or papers	wn from consideration.	-∞
	•		
10) 🔲 7	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examine Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accomplicated and accomplicated according to the Example of the accomplicated and accomplicated according to the Example of the accomplicated according to the Example of the according to the Example of the according to the Example of the according to the acco	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the Idea of the I	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application in the second	on No ed in this National Stage
	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	<u>—</u>	atent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2-4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification, particularly in reference to figure 2b, does not adequately disclose a housing including a top with a top proximal section and a bottom with a bottom proximal section, the top proximal section having a geometry that facilitates creation of a skin flap with a substantially uniform thickness that includes a skin layer and an adjacent layer of subcutaneous tissue, the bottom proximal section having a geometry that preserves a plane of tissue that is positioned adjacent to the adjacent layer of subcutaneous tissue.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Application/Control Number: 10/620,311

Art Unit: 3739

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

Claims 2, 3, 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Woloszko et al. (US 6896674). In figure 20, Woloszko et al. disclose an electrosurgical apparatus comprising an electrode (803) with a cutting edge, a housing (801) coupled to the electrode including a top with a top proximal section and a bottom with a bottom proximal section, the top proximal section having a geometry that facilitates creation of a skin flap with substantially uniform thickness that includes a skin layer and an adjacent layer of subcutaneous tissue, the bottom proximal section having a geometry that reserves a plane of tissue that is positioned adjacent to the adjacent layer of subcutaneous tissue and a guide (802). **Regarding claim 3**, figure 20 illustrates the housing including a gap between the top proximal section and the bottom proximal section. **Regarding claim 4** Woloszko et al. disclose a chamber (820) that facilitates creation of the skin flap. **Regarding claim 14** Woloszko et al. illustrates an insulator (802) coupled to at least a portion of the electrode.

Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., creating a small incision without opening up a large incision or a housing used to determine the depth of flap creation) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification

Application/Control Number: 10/620,311

Page 4

Art Unit: 3739

are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosiland S. Rollins whose telephone number is (571) 272-4772. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,311 Page 5

Art Unit: 3739

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rosiland S Rollins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739